

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/384,541	08/27/1999	DAVID D. TAIEB	52817.00110	7979	
29315	7590 05/05/2003				
	IN COHN FERRIS G	EXAMINER			
SUITE 900 RESTON, VA	T HILLS ROAD		RAHIMI, IRAJ A		
RESTON, VA	20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622	10/	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	しめ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

					//			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/384,541		TAIEB, DAVID D.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		(Iraj) Alan Rahim	ni	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failui - Any ro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min ill apply and will expire s cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2003 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
_	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ation					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	on nom consider	20071.					
_	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	ment.					
	on Papers							
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:						
10)🖾 🏾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/27/99</u> is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) 🛭 objec	ted to by the Exa	miner. in Pap	ec7.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌 🏻	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approve	d b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	ion.					
12) 🗌 🏻	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been rece	ived.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	ived in Application	n No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burnee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certification.	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		-		application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application	on has been rece	ved.	er			
Attachment		-						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/384,541

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bamford et al. (US patent 5,506,940).

Regarding claim 1, Bamford discloses a method of selecting a font to output a message represented by at least one unknown language, comprising the steps of:

creating a linked list of structures containing at least one category of information associated with available system fonts;

parsing characters of the message and comparing each character of the message against entries in a character table bank, wherein the entries in the character table bank comprise a plurality of character sets;

identifying the character sets of the character table bank that support the characters of the message;

traversing the linked list of structures to compare the at least one category of information associated with available system fonts against the identified character sets;

Art Unit: 2622

selecting at least one of the available system fonts to output the message based on the comparison results between the at least one category of information associated with available system fonts and the identified character sets (column 6, lines 1-42 and Figure 2B).

Regarding claim 2, Bamford discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the step of creating the linked list of structures containing at least one category of information associated with the available system fonts comprises creating font tag information (column 2, lines 32-45; column 6, lines 33-39).

Regarding claim 3, Bamford discloses a method of claim 1, wherein the message is output to a printer (column 2, lines 35-44 and printer 112).

Regarding claim 5, Bamford teaches a method of claim 1, wherein the step of identifying the character sets of the character table bank that support the characters of the message further comprises associating at least a code page to the characters of the message (column 6, lines 33-40).

Regarding claims 6 and 16 arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1, are applicable.

Regarding claim 11, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 1 and 3, are applicable.

Regarding claim 7, 12 and 17 arguments analogous to those presented for claim 2, are applicable.

.!

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 10, 15 and 20, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5, are applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 9, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bamford et al. in view of Chan et al. (US patent 6,073,147).

Regarding claim 4, Bamford does not discloses a method of claim 2, wherein the characters of the message are encoded in Unicode. Chan et al. discloses character identification using a more universal set like Unicode (column4, lines 30-44). Bamford and Chan et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is font matching. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention to use Unicode characters in the Bamford invention to standardize character recognition in multilingual applications.

Regarding claims 9, 14 and 19 arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4, are applicable.

Art Unit: 2622

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Iraj) Alan Rahimi whose telephone number is 703-306-3473. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

Alan Rahimi April 28, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600